

GOVERNMENT OF GUAM

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES DIPATTAMENTON SALUT PUPBLEKO YAN SETBISION SUSIAT



LOURDES A. LEON GUERRERO MAGA'HAGAN GUAHAN GOVERNOR OF GUAM

JOSHUA F. TENORIO SEGUNDO MAGA'LAHEN GUAHAN LT. GOVERNOR OF GUAM PETERJOHN D. CAMACHO, MPH DEPUTY DIRECTOR

February 24, 2025

Honorable Lourdes Leon Guerrero Governor of Guam Executive Chambers PO Box 2950 Hagåtña, Guam 96932

Honorable Frank F. Blas Jr., Speaker I Mina'trentai Ocho na Lehislaturan Guahan 38th Guam Legislature 163 Chalan Santo Papa Hagatna, Guam 96910

Re: Guam Board of Medical Examiners Regular Board Meeting for February 21, 2025

Dear Governor Leon Guerrero and Speaker Blas,

As required by 5 GCA § 8113.1, attached please find electronic copies of the agenda, approved minutes, and other attachments.

Should you have any questions, please call us at (671) 735-7407/7411

Respectfully,

Baltazar A. Hattori III Program Coordinator I

Cc: Jean Taitano, Governor's Legal Office

Attachments:

- December 11, 2024 (Reconvened January 15, 2025) Approved Board Meeting Minutes
- February 21, 2025 Board Meeting Agenda.
- February 14, 2025 (5 Day Advertisement in Guam Public Notice Portal & Guam Daily Post).
- February 19, 2025 (48 Hour Advertisement in Guam Public Notice Portal & Guam Daily Post).
- February 21, 2025 Board Meeting Attendance.

GUAM BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

Wednesday, December 11, 2024 (Reconvened January 15, 2025) at 4:00 PM Join Zoom Meeting:

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/85026794670?pwd=hHosayUaA0bCrMBY1mipewkQYditlJ.1 Meeting ID: 850 2679 4670

Passcode: 333856

MINUTES

13/2	Topic	DECISION(S) / A	ACTION(S) MADE	Responsible Party		Status
I.	Call to Order	Meeting Chaired by: Dr. Berg		Chair	1605	Called to Order
		Present at HPLO Nathaniel B. Berg, M.D., Chairperson Joleen Aguon, M.D. Present Virtually at Remote location: Luis G. Cruz, M.D. Alexander D Wielaard, M.D. Verrad Kwai Nyame, MD Election for Interim Chairperson The meeting began with a request from B. Sablan for non Medical Examiners. Dr. Aguon nominated Dr.Berg, this position. The discussion then shifted to the need for forma	OTHERS PRESENT: Present at HPLO Conference Room: Baltazar (Tre) Hattori III, HPLO Breanna Sablan, HPLO Present Virtually at Remote location: Peter John Camacho, DPHSS, Deputy Director minations for an interim chairperson for the Guam Board of a was seconded by Dr. Cruz. Dr. Berg accepted the interimal elections, which could not occur during the current meeting all elections for the positions of chair, vice chair, and treasurer	Chair	1605	Quorum Established Dr. Berg has been Nominated as Interim Chair, Official Elections next Meeting
			ich was reviewed on December 4th, has already been deemed ation of the agenda.		1007	
11.	Adoption of Agenda	Motion to Adopt the Agenda: Dr. Berg.		GBME	1608	Adopted
III.	Review and Approval of Minutes	Draft Minutes dated November 13, 2024 and November 2 Motion to Approve: Dr. Berg.	20, 2024.	GBME	1608	Unanimously Approved

	Topic	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE	Responsible Party		Status
IV.	Treasurer's Report	No report	GBME	1609	No Report
V.	HPLO Administrator's Report	B. Sablan reported on the upcoming ethics training and the need to share the training calendar with the board once available. Plans were outlined for an educational media session aimed at raising awareness about the board's activities, including frequently asked questions and the availability of a searchable public database. These sessions will involve board members and will take place both at the HPLO conference room and various media outlets. A report on the sessions will be provided to the board once completed. An issue was raised concerning two individuals writing prescriptions for the same patient, one of whom is no longer employed with the Department of Public Health and Social Services, while the other remains employed. The concern involves potential fraud, as prescriptions are being written on behalf of public health patients without proper authorization. The board discussed the legal implications and potential actions, including the possibility of contacting the individuals involved to cease their actions. It was clarified that writing prescriptions for public health patients without proper employment at public health could be considered fraud, especially if it involves federal funds. Further investigation is needed, particularly regarding the status of public health's investigation, the potential diversion of medications, and whether any criminal activity occurred. It was also noted that retirees must notify the board if they retire from the practice of medicine, and they are prohibited from writing prescriptions after retirement. Dr. Berg will work with public health and the medical board to clarify the situation and ensure that all parties involved are properly informed and comply with the regulations. Further follow-up will be required, and the matter will be treated as an administrative issue for now.	HPLO	1609	No Report
VI.	Chairperson's Report	Dr. Berg provided an update on the upcoming meeting for the committee focused on reentry to practice for the FSMB. Dr. Berg mentioned being part of a committee that is developing national policy recommendations for reentry to practice. Although the FSMB does not create policy for boards, it provides recommendations, which are typically adopted by around 40 out of the 57 boards. Dr. Berg emphasized that the issue of reentry to practice is currently inconsistent and remains a significant challenge.	Dr. Berg	1624	Noted
VII.	Old Business	A. Complaint(s): 1. GBME-CO-20-005 – Received: 09/18/2020. Dr. Cruz reported that there is no update, as the board still does not have an attorney. Dr. Berg noted that resolving this issue must be a priority for the next chair. The Law and Medical Practices Act allows the board to hire its own attorney, provided there is funding available. Dr. Berg explained that the agency has not assigned an attorney, as changes have been sporadic over the past year. However, B. Sablan shared that an official letter was sent to the OAG requesting legal counsel for both the medical and health boards, signed by Deputy PJ Camacho. They expressed hope for a response soon, acknowledging the challenges caused by the lack of legal counsel. B. Sablan provided an update noting that progress is still being made in procuring an off-island expert, with efforts close to completion, but there are new procurement rules for small purchases, which are delaying the process, but	Dr. Cruz	1631	On-going, Legal Services are Being Sought out

Topic	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE	Responsible Party	Status
	Dr. Berg discussed the potential for legislative changes during the current session, particularly regarding the ability to procure using general funds instead of relying on outside investigators, which is a challenging and unusual process for a medical board. In response to B. Sablan's question about any attempts to meet with the OAG, Dr. Berg shared that he has made numerous attempts to engage with them via calls, emails, and WhatsApp's, asking for consistent representatives. Further, B. Sablan updated the board on the work regarding legal services contracts. They are simultaneously pursuing a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for investigators and a contract for a prosecuting attorney. Dr. Berg expressed optimism about seeing progress within the fiscal year. In previous years OAG assigned Rob Weinberg, we also have had private representation. However, the board was informed of the need to go through the Attorney General and not private. Dr. Berg stated the challenges of inconsistent support and the significance of these issues, particularly when relying on outside entities.		
	2. GBME-CO-2022-010 - Received: 06/21/2022.	Dr. David B. Sablan	Unanimously Tabled due to some Board Members Being Unfamiliar with the Accusation. Conditions of Recusal was Clarified by the GBME

Торіс	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE	Responsible Party	Status
	Dr. Berg also shared personal insights, explaining that, upon moving to Guam, they initially found it odd that board members could be involved in cases concerning people within their own professional group. However, they later understood that the core principle of being on the board is to avoid using personal interests to influence decisions, adhering to a professional oath. They noted that board membership requires experience to navigate these complex decisions, especially when a board member's specialty is involved in a case. Ultimately, Dr. Berg emphasized that the decision to recuse oneself is a personal one, though the board has never challenged a member's decision to recuse. They pointed out that recusal is necessary when there is a clear conflict, such as personal involvement in a case, but simply being involved in the same field or receiving patient referrals would not automatically require recusal. Dr. Berg concluded that board members must balance different roles and responsibilities, sometimes wearing "different hats," to make impartial decisions. Dr. Berg explained that there was no specific rule for recusal in this particular case, but he chose to recuse himself initially due to the close relationship with the physician involved. At the time of the investigation, the physician frequently visited Dr. Berg's clinic and discussed cases behind closed doors, which led Dr. Berg to feel that there could be a perception of bias or conflict of interest. To maintain the integrity of the investigation and the physician-to-physician consulting relationship, Dr. Berg decided to recuse himself. However, Dr. Berg noted that the situation has since changed, as the physician no longer works in the same building. Given this, Dr. Berg felt that he could now un-recuse himself from the case, as there is no longer any conflict or perception of bias. With only four board members available, Dr. Berg expressed a willingness to participate in the case moving forward, noting that this decision would not impact on his judgme		
	Dr. Cruz noted the importance of ethical responsibility. Dr. Berg supported by stating that even if no formal oath is taken, board members are expected to act without letting personal interests influence their decisions. As chair, he can offer guidance on recusal decisions, and that consulting with experienced board members is especially helpful in challenging situations like those on Guam, where personal connections can be complex.		

Topic	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE	Responsible Party	Status
	Dr. Aguon responded to Dr. Cruz's inquiry, clarifying that she initially thought the question was about understanding when to recuse oneself in general, rather than about their personal reason for recusal. Dr. Berg clarified whether the question was directed at him specifically or in general. Dr. Cruz, for the sake of new board members to understand if there was any criteria or standards that one member would recuse themself from a case. We have new board members because especially in the context of new board members. There was also a request for information regarding any established criteria or standards that might guide such a decision. Dr. Berg explained that in smaller jurisdictions, there are typically no formal policies on recusal. For example, a conflict of interest would not arise simply because two individuals live in the same area, such as Dededo. In contrast, in larger jurisdictions like California, recusal guidelines may vary by county, with some counties having significant numbers of physicians, making it difficult for board members to know all the individuals involved.		
	Dr. Wielaard highlighted the challenges of creating formal recusal policies due to the close-knit nature of the community, where connections to patients and other physicians are common. Dr. Wielaard emphasized that recusal should be based on a judgment of whether a board member feels they can remain impartial in discussions or investigations. In cases of uncertainty Dr. Wielaard suggested that board members should voluntarily recuse themselves or disclose potential conflicts to the board for further discussion and guidance. Dr. Berg acknowledged that while some conflicts of interest are obvious, many are more subtle and difficult to assess. Dr. Berg would leave it to the other board members to decide if they would prefer another member's input and pointed out that with only four members available to discuss the issue, it may be necessary to discuss recusal with him being absent.		
	Dr. Aguon expressed curiosity, asking why the discussion should occur without the person involved being present. Dr. Wielaard clarified that the recusal was likely made not due to an inability to remain impartial, but to maintain the integrity and perception of the investigation. Dr. Wielaard suggested that if the group feels the complaint is being handled impartially and everyone is comfortable with the process, then there may not be a need for recusal.		
	Dr. Berg proposed that, in general, more input is beneficial for each case and emphasized that the purpose of having a quorum is to gather as many perspectives as possible. Given the current shortage of board members, he offered to lift his recusal, as he no longer felt there was a conflict of interest. He sought approval from the board for this decision, suggesting they could become familiar with the case simultaneously with the rest of the board. Dr. Aguon acknowledged that the decision to recuse was a personal one and questioned whether a vote was necessary. Dr. Berg reassured the board that they no longer perceived any bias or conflict, explaining that the		

Торіс	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE	Responsible Party	Status
	recusal was initially due to a potential perception issue rather than an actual conflict, which he believes no longer exists. Dr. Berg pointed out that the situation was different when there were seven members, as the recusal reduced the board size to six.		
	Dr. Cruz expressed concern about the need for consistency in handling the case with the Guam Board of Medical Examiners. He emphasized that if someone reviewed the records and minutes, they would see the stipulations in place for the medical provider. Changing these stipulations could raise concerns about bias or impropriety. Dr. Wielaard suggested ensuring that all members are up to speed on the case before deciding, emphasizing the importance of consistency. Since Dr. Berg had previously recused himself, the group agreed that if additional input was deemed necessary, the matter could be revisited later. Dr. Berg and B. Sablan explained that Dr. Aguon, a new member, would need to review the case to be able to vote. The case had not yet been approved, but a hearing officer had provided a recommendation for the board to consider. Dr. Berg compared the situation to a scenario where someone might recuse themselves due to potential conflicts of interest, even if there was no actual bias, stating that the person in question didn't have any direct knowledge of the individual involved. It was noted Dr. Nyame had not yet finished reviewing all the material in the case. The board discussed the necessity of independently deciding, and it was confirmed by B. Sablan that a summary would be provided, although it would be the hearing officer's summary, not a complete review of the case. Dr. Cruz clarified that the hearing officer's recommendation was based on the legalities of the case up to that point. It was noted that a stipulation had been made for the physician by the board, which the hearing officer may not be aware of. The speaker expressed concern about the consistency of the decision-making process, especially since Dr. Berg had recused himself earlier. The board would need to consider how to handle the stipulation which had been put in place during Dr. Berg's absence, as it added a layer to the case that would need to be addressed moving forward.		
	Dr. Berg requested that a decision be made at the next meeting regarding whether he should be involved moving forward, expressing no issue with whatever the board decides. He offered the option for the board to either proceed without his involvement or to have all members review the case and make a collective decision. Ultimately, Dr. Berg emphasized that the decision was up to the other members and was open to whatever choice the board makes. Motion to Table: Dr. Berg; 2 nd : Dr. Nyame.		
	C. Application(s) for Full Licensure		0.5 5 50 5/2
	 Geoffrey M. Fraiche Dr. Berg informed the group that G. Fraiche had withdrawn the application, and as a result, the board would report this to the administrator. He also mentioned that the board would review the regulations to determine whether support could be provided to move forward with the process. 	GBME	Applicant has Withdrawn his Application.

	Topic	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE	Responsible		Status
VIII	New Business	A. Application for Full Licensure: 1. Caleb King Dr. Berg reviewed the case of Dr. King, noting a minor discrepancy in his application where he listed himself as Dr. Caleb King instead of his full name, Dr. Caleb Robert King, which appears on official documents like his passport. Despite this, there were no other issues with his licensure application, as all other documentation was clear, and his record in the National Practitioner Data Bank was clean. Dr. Berg emphasized the importance of clarity and consistency in official government documents and	GBME	1652	Unanimously Conditionally Approved Pending Correction of Application
		proposed that Dr. King be granted licensure pending the correction of the discrepancy. Specifically, Dr. King would need to resubmit all pages of the application where his name was listed as "Caleb King" and provide a letter of explanation or court documents if applicable to clarify the name difference. The motion was made to approve his licensure once this correction was made. Motion to Conditionally Approve Pending Correction: Dr. Berg; 2 nd : Dr. Aguon. 2. Roxanna A. Sadri	GBME		Regarding Applicants Change in Name.
		Dr. Berg discussed the case of Dr. Sadri, a U.S. citizen who completed her medical training at UCSD and USC, with subsequent internships and fellowships in Southern California. However, she has not practiced in the U.S. since 2016, which exceeds the two-year limit for practicing without re-entry into a licensure program, as per board regulations. Though she has been practicing in New Zealand, a country that recognizes U.S. medical training, Dr. Berg pointed out that no U.S. state would grant her a license after such a long period of non-practice. The board clarified that Dr. Sadri is not eligible for a U.S. license under the current rules and would need to complete a re-entry program. A letter would be sent to inform her of this decision, and both hospitals involved would be notified. The discussion also touched upon broader licensing issues, including efforts to explore international agreements for recognizing medical qualifications from other countries, like Canada, New Zealand, and some European nations.	GBME		Not Approved due to the Extended Period of Non- Practice. Applicant Must Complete a Re-Entry Program.
		Dr. Berg discussed various legal and regulatory aspects related to the medical board and the Interstate Medical Licensure Compact (IMLC). The IMLC is an agreement between several U.S. states that allows for a streamlined process for physicians to be licensed in multiple states. The medical board can create its own rules and regulations within the framework of the governing laws, but they can't change the core law itself (e.g., the requirements for licensure). Rules and regulations can be amended by the board, but they must comply with the broader legal structure. The Federation of State Medical Boards (FSMB) oversees the IMLC, and any changes to state laws must not violate IMLC requirements. For example, if a state changes its laws in a way that makes it incompatible with the IMLC, it could be kicked out of compact. California, for instance, is not part of the IMLC, but other states like Minnesota are members. When a physician is licensed in a compact state, other states trust			

Topic	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE	Responsible Party	Status
	that the physician meets specific standards because the state's laws have been reviewed by the FSMB. The board has to be cautious when modifying laws so that it does not jeopardize the state's standing in the IMLC. For example, changes were made to California's laws about military physicians to ensure the state could still participate in the compact. The FSMB had to review the changes to ensure California's laws remained compatible with the IMLC.		
	Dr. Aguon asked for a compilation of the said laws, rules and regulations, and medical practices. B. Sablan will provide a binder for Dr. Aguon and Dr. Berg will send a link and Dr. Nyame informed Dr. Aguon could also find the information on the website.		
	B. Collaborative Practice Agreement Dr. Berg explained the process of collaborative practice agreements (CPAs) and the roles of the chair or vice chair. The review is conducted by the chair to check that the CPAs are in order and free of discrepancies. The allied health board is responsible for licensing, while the medical board (or its chair) merely reviews the agreements. Dr. Berg clarified that, as the interim chair, he will review and sign off on the collaborative practice agreements. Dr. Berg mentioned that he did not do this before because there was not an interim chair at the time. Now, in the position, he will review them.	GBME	Dr. Berg Will Review the Applications
	1. Megan Burns, PA-C	Dr. Berg	Approved
	2. Eric Lopez, PA-C	Dr. Berg	Approved
	3. Stephanie Houser, PA-C	Dr. Berg	Approved
	C. Seeking Guidance on Laboratory Orders for Patients Temporarily in Guam with Mainland Providers		E288H E48
	1. Guidance is needed on whether laboratory orders from a mainland primary care provider (PCP) — assuming the PCP is not licensed to practice in Guam and the patient is not seeing a provider in Guam — can be accepted and honored for service in Guam. Dr. Nyame is suggesting that Guam's medical board should allow lab prescriptions to be honored across state lines, similar to how other states operate. He referenced the practice in Michigan and Ohio, where a lab in Ohio will fill a lab order from a physician in Michigan. Dr. Nyame proposes that Guam should adopt this practice as well, arguing that it's not technically considered the practice of medicine, and as long as the physician holds an active license in the U.S. (in any state), they should be allowed to write lab orders. Dr. Berg suggests that the board should vote on this matter, and if approved, it should be added to the website as part of the board's rules and regulations. The proposal emphasizes that labs would only need to verify that the ordering physician has an active license within the U.S., regardless of where they are physically located. Dr. Berg specifically highlights that even if a physician is on vacation in another state (like California), as long as their license is valid in another state (like Massachusetts), the lab should still	GBME	The Board Will Investigate Further by Comparing International Policy as well as Gathering More Information to Make a More Informed Decision. The GBME Requested to

Торіс	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE	Responsible Party	Status
	accept the order. Dr. Wielaard raised concerns about whether writing a lab prescription across state lines could be considered the practice of medicine, based on their reading of the medical practice act. He referenced the opening statement of the physician practice act, which may define the practice of medicine as involving diagnosing illness or similar activities, questioning whether issuing lab orders falls under that definition. Dr. Cruz suggests that a lab in another state (like Minnesota) might not have a reliable way to verify the physician's license, which adds complexity to the issue. He expressed uncertainty and acknowledged that they may need to review the practice act again, as he felt the current reading implies that this action could indeed constitute the practice of medicine.		Meet with the GBAHE, GBNE, and GBEP to Further Deliberate on the Topic.
	The discussion on whether physicians licensed outside Guam should be allowed to order labs for patients located on Guam. Dr. Berg proposes the idea that this could be allowed on an intermittent basis (e.g., when a patient is temporarily visiting Guam). Dr. Aguon suggests that it would be detrimental to patient care if a physician couldn't order labs for a patient in need just because they aren't licensed in Guam. Dr. Aguon acknowledged the complexity of the issue, mentioning that while ordering labs may be seen as a form of diagnosing or practicing medicine, this shouldn't prevent someone from receiving necessary care while traveling. Dr. Berg mentions similar situations in other states where physicians can write temporary prescriptions for relatives, and how some physicians may have ordered labs across state lines without issues, particularly in situations where the patient has an ongoing health concern that requires immediate testing.		
	Dr. Wielaard also suggests the need for a better understanding of how other states handle this, noting that the current system might be more flexible than it appears. There's a recognition of the lack of a national database for verifying licenses for lab orders, unlike the federal DEA database for prescriptions, which could complicate things further. Dr. Nyame shared his personal experience to illustrate how lab orders work across state lines. He mentions practicing in multiple states (New Mexico, North Carolina, and Michigan) and wrote lab prescriptions for his friends or colleagues in states where he is not currently practicing. He highlights that he has written lab prescriptions (like a urine analysis) while practicing in New Mexico for patients in Michigan, and those labs were filled without issue.		
	Dr. Nyame reflects that he did not experience any problems with this arrangement, assuming that it was a standard practice. He suggests that the situation doesn't seem as complicated since labs are less involved than full clinical practices. He agrees with the idea that if it's a one-time event, there shouldn't be an issue, but acknowledges that if it becomes an ongoing arrangement, it might raise concerns. Dr. Nyame also set an example involving his own mother, who has diabetes and is receiving treatment that includes regular monitoring of her HbA1c levels. He plans to take her doctor's script to a lab on Guam to have the HbA1c test done, and he doesn't foresee any issues with that either, although there has been a request for		

Topic	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE	Responsible	Status
	clarification from DLS.	Party	
	Dr. Aguon suggested asking DLS in Hawaii about their approach to similar situations, as Hawaii serves as a primary jurisdiction for labs related to Guam. Dr. Berg mentioned the possibility of contacting the FSME liaison to get input on how other states handle this type of situation, particularly to see if there is any model legislation or regulation that could inform their decisions. Dr. Aguon raised the issue that while writing lab orders could technically be seen as the practice of medicine, they don't want rules or regulations to interfere with patient care. If the ordering of labs is a one-time, intermittent occurrence and not an ongoing relationship, it likely shouldn't be a significant problem. Dr. Nyame spoke about how special tests are sent out to labs in Hawaii. This process doesn't seem to cause issues, if the lab orders are not a recurring or regular practice, it may not need to be formalized through new legislation, and informal guidelines could suffice. Dr. Aguon pointed out and Dr. Berg agreed to the need for a reasonable verification system, such as ensuring that physicians ordering labs have an active DEA license or other evidence of their qualifications. This would be to ensure that medical professionals ordering lab tests are legitimate and that the patient care process is not delayed or compromised.		
	Dr. Wielaard emphasized the importance of determining whether a certain action is considered "practicing medicine." If it is, then it should fall under the Medical Practice Act, which requires that those performing medical practices be licensed. In this case, they suggest that ordering lab tests is practicing medicine, especially when it is done across state or jurisdictional lines. He acknowledges that while a test may be physically performed in Hawaii, the order is placed in Guam, and the interpretation and resulting actions occur in Guam. This distinction is important because it reinforces the idea that the practice of medicine (such as ordering and interpreting tests) is occurring within Guam's jurisdiction, even though the test itself might be processed elsewhere. Also, verification, the issue is whether the person ordering the test is a legitimate physician. Without proper verification, there's the risk that someone who isn't a licensed physician could place orders, which could pose a public safety risk. This underscored the necessity of verification steps to ensure patient safety. Also, thinking more broadly about the implications of regulating medical actions that might extend beyond labs, such as radiology or imaging services (MRI, ultrasound). This is tied to the concern of potential harm from ionizing radiation or other risks that could arise from inappropriate practices or misuse.		
	Dr. Berg mentioned that it is standard practice across the country for radiology orders to be made by licensed physicians, typically requiring a prescription or letterhead. Dr. Nyame was noted as an example where patients may ask for orders like x-rays, and these requests are usually honored as long as they are not frequent. It was proposed that these orders should be written or otherwise indicated as coming from a		

Topic	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE	Responsible Party		Status
	licensed physician to avoid verbal orders, ensuring the legitimacy of the request. The conversation then shifted to an example involving Dr. Nyame's mother, suggesting that a situation where a relative might need a procedure without seeing a physician in person would be cumbersome and could lead to unnecessary medical visits. Despite this, it was argued that such instances are unlikely to represent a real issue, as writing orders for tests, like an A1C, isn't the practice of medicine in a strict sense. It was concluded that there isn't a significant concern regarding these occasional requests, and no slippery slope is perceived in this context. Dr. Wielaard focused on the issue of honoring orders and going to the Philippines, specifically regarding prescriptions, labs, and imaging studies. Dr. Berg emphasized that orders from foreign physicians, such as those in the Philippines, are not recognized unless the physician is licensed in the U.S. and practicing within the country. A proposal was made to clarify that medical orders should only come from licensed professionals within the U.S. to ensure patient safety and continuity of care. Dr. Wielaard suggested that clear parameters should be established, potentially in collaboration with allied health and pharmacy boards, to verify that the healthcare provider is licensed and actively involved in the patient's care.		100	
	Dr. Berg spoke about verifying orders through proper documentation, such as prescription pads or letterhead, and ensuring there is a reasonable method for verification. The next steps involved meeting with the pharmacy and allied health boards to discuss these parameters and develop a proposal that could be brought to each board for further discussion. Additionally, Dr. Aguon suggested to consult the FSMB to explore model legislation that could potentially be introduced to codify these guidelines. Dr. Cruz, pointed out that incorporating an NPI number could serve as a reliable means of verification for licensed healthcare providers. Berg emphasized that medical orders should not be part of a chargeable event, particularly when the physician is not actively providing care for the patient in person. This is to ensure that the act of ordering labs or writing prescriptions does not cross into the unauthorized practice of medicine. Dr. Cruz discussed the implications of telehealth practices, with concerns about non-licensed practitioners, such as naturopathic doctors, ordering labs for patients remotely. It was agreed that the goal should be to establish clear guidelines and a verification process, ensuring that any lab orders or prescriptions made remotely are solely for continuity of care and do not involve chargeable visits. A potential solution proposed by Dr. Berg, was to create a form for periodic consultation that would include verification through the MPI number and a declaration that the care provided is not part of an ongoing telehealth practice. Additionally, Dr. Berg noted that any such interactions must be framed as consultations, with final medical decisions being made by a licensed practitioner within the jurisdiction, to ensure compliance with regulations and maintain appropriate standards of care. Dr. Wielaard volunteered to research how other states handle similar workflows related to ordering labs and telehealth practices. He offered to bring back his findings to the board or circulate them in adv			

Topic	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE	Responsible Party	Status
	Dr. Berg appreciated Dr. Wielaard contrarian perspective on the issue. Dr. Berg wants to ensure that any medical orders or prescriptions made in this context should never be emergency-related. It was emphasized that in emergency situations, patients should seek care from a provider within the community. A potential policy was discussed, which would not be overly burdensome, but would require verification from the healthcare provider. This verification could include a simple form that confirms the provider is licensed in their jurisdiction and that the prescription is intended for continuity of care, not telemedicine. This approach is used in other states, such as California, where providers simply sign a form to verify their credentials and intent, according to Dr. Berg. Dr. Aguon quickly researched Dr. Wielaard's point about ordering labs being considered practicing medicine, which falls under the jurisdiction of the medical board. It was noted that in states like California and Hawaii, non-licensed physicians are not permitted to order labs within those states. However, there was some recognition that exceptions may be made in certain circumstances. Dr. Berg mentioned a hypothetical scenario again in which Dr. Nyame's mother, traveling to Hawaii, might request a lab order, and while the law prohibits non-licensed physicians from ordering labs, it was suggested that in practice, exceptions might still be made informally. Dr. Berg acknowledged that the legal framework might differ from the actual practices on the ground.		
	Dr. Wielaard shared that, in his past experiences and having family in California he has not had success with ordering labs or X-rays, unlike Dr. Berg and Dr. Nyame. He speculated that this might be due to differences in how states handle such requests or possibly due to the acceptance of his license. He expressed that when he first read the Guam medical practice guidelines, he expected the issue of needing a license to practice medicine to be straightforward. However, he found the topic more complex than anticipated, acknowledging the different perspectives and noting that it was an interesting discussion. Dr. Berg reflects some frustration with the possibility that the variance between his and others' experiences in getting prescriptions or lab orders to be honored across state lines is simply "just because you're from Guam." He noted that while Guam has its own considerations, there's likely some leniency when it comes to U.S. licenses, especially with the unique context of being physically isolated. He expressed concern for continuity of care, particularly for patients like Dr. Nyame's mom, who might struggle with obtaining care from distant providers. He agreed on the importance of having a verification process, but also emphasized that it should never be used for emergency situations. Dr. Berg is open to further exploring these discrepancies, proposing to research what other states do,		
	specifically referencing the FSMB and different experiences like in New York, where courtesies might be granted for prescription writing, despite legal considerations. Ultimately, he acknowledged the need for clarity but with an understanding of the special circumstances in play. Dr. Cruz shared his thoughts that one		

Торіс	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE	Responsible Party	Status
	of the biggest barriers on Guam is the insurance companies approving or covering the cost. Looking into the specifics with DLS could help clarify whether they have any guidelines around insurance coverage or if those labs would only be for self-paying patients. Dr. Berg agreed recognizing the balance between preventing misuse (the slippery slope) and still being able to offer practical solutions for patients who might otherwise face unnecessary hurdles. Dr. Berg felt there may be a way to control it while maintaining flexibility for continuity of care. When you think about patients who are here temporarily, especially with something routine like monthly labs or medication refills, creating a framework that allows for that makes sense as long as there's a way to verify the legitimacy. It's kind of like addressing real-world needs, like if someone is just renewing their meds for a short stay and doesn't need to go through a whole new process with a local provider for something simple. The tricky part, is defining "reasonable" for things like lab tests or prescriptions, how do we define that?		
	Dr. Wielaard stated there are different federal laws governing prescriptions, particularly emergency fills that can be made without a prescription under certain circumstances. It was noted that every lab should have a medical director licensed in the state where the lab operates. The process for handling prescriptions written by off-island physicians involves the medical director overseeing the lab and endorsing the prescription under their own license. In this case, the medical director would essentially rewrite the prescription as their own order and take responsibility for it under their licensure. Dr. Berg, with input from Dr. Aguon turned to the need for clear guidance for medical directors overseeing labs. It was suggested that lab directors should review requests for lab orders, ensuring that they are reasonable based on the patient's circumstances, such as whether they are visiting from out of state and regularly receive certain tests. The discussion highlighted the importance of the medical director's role in determining the appropriateness of orders, with a focus on reasonableness. It was also agreed that the topic had become more complex than initially anticipated, and further discussions would be needed. Dr. Berg offered to consult with various stakeholders, including the FSMB and the head of the pharmacy board, while Dr. Wielaard, and would conduct national research. Additionally, Dr. Cruz was tasked with investigating who gets NPIs, and whether naturopaths use them, as NPIs are generally required for providers to order diagnostic studies. Are they following HIPAA regulations? However, it was noted by Dr. Aguon that some cash-only clinics might not use NPIs. Dr. Berg suggested maybe we could require a lab or pharmacy to at least verify that the person has an NPI.		
	Dr. Wielaard raised concern regarding the requirement for an NPI and whether it would be sufficient for ordering labs or prescriptions, even if a provider is not licensed in the state. Dr. Berg stated that having an NPI might be a minimum standard. Dr. Berg but also recognized that it does not guarantee a provider is properly licensed to practice. The conversation acknowledged gaps in the current system and the potential risks of dangerous practices if not properly regulated. It was decided to table the discussion for further		

	Topic	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE		Status	
		research and come back to it later. Additionally, the board agreed to notify DLS about the need for further exploration of the issue. B. Sablan will schedule a meeting with relevant boards, including nursing, allied health, and pharmacy, to develop a policy. Dr. Nyame, Dr. Berg and Dr. Wielaard shared experiences that varied widely, which reflected the inconsistency in practice across jurisdictions, particularly in Hawaii. Dr. Berg expressed a desire for a more uniform policy for Guam, though there was an acknowledgment that official policies may not align with actual practice in different locations.	Party		
		D. Continuing Medical Education: Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) B. Sablan reported the Governor's Community Outreach Federal Programs Office reached out regarding a grant from the U.S. Department of Justice's Office of Violence Against Women. The grant, aimed at addressing the high rates of sexual assault, funded a pediatrician to complete training for conducting sexual assault exams at the Healing Heart Crisis Center, enabling evidence collection for prosecution. The board discussed how to recognize the Continuing Medical Education (CME) credit for this training, as they are not authorized to determine Category 1 CME credits, which must be provided by recognized entities such as the AMA. However, if the certificate states an AMA, the CME can be acknowledged. The board emphasized the importance of clear communication to the physician community, ensuring that their contact information, particularly emails, is up to date. They also expressed willingness to collaborate with the Healing Heart Crisis Center to inform the medical community about sexual assault examinations and make sure healthcare providers understand the process for referring patients to Healing Hearts when necessary. Additionally, it was noted that individuals who have received training must complete three hours of experience in conducting sexual assault exams within three years to be fully certified.	GBME		Noted
IX.	Announcement	Next regularly scheduled board meeting: Wednesday February 12, 2025, at 4:00 pm. Election of Board Officers will be taking place during the February meeting.	GBME	1753	Set Meeting Time
X.	Adjournment	Motion to Adjourn: Dr. Berg	GBME	1757	Adjourned

Minutes Drafted by: FLAME TREE Freedom Center, Inc.	Date Submitted: 1/2//2025	
Submitted by the GBME Secretary:	Date: 2/21/2025	
Approved by the GBME with or without changes:	Date:	
Certified by or Attested by the Chairperson:	Date: 2/21/2025	
	Y /	



Guam Board of Medical Examiners

Department of Public Health and Social Services 194 Hernan Cortez Ave. Suite 213, Hagatna, GU 96910

Guam Board of Medical Examiners Regular Board Meeting Friday, February 21, 2025 at 3:00 pm

Join Zoom Meeting:

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83772282526?pwd=LN9qrbnmHp3ciybBvbWPXIpnWW8iug.1

Meeting ID: 837 7228 2526 Passcode: 955212

AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER: (a) Roll Call (b) Confirmation of Public Notice (c) Election of Board Officers ADOPTION OF AGENDA II. III. REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES – December 11, 2024 (Reconvened January 15, 2025) IV. TREASURER'S REPORT: V. HPLO ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT: VI. CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT: VII. **OLD BUSINESS:** (a) Complaint(s): (1) GBME-CO-20-005 - Received: 09/18/2020 (L. Cruz) - on-going (2) GBME-CO-2022-010 - Received: 06/21/2022 - on-going
 - (b) Accusation: GBME-001-2023
 - (c) Application(s) for Full Licensure:
 - (1) Roxanna A. Sadri
 - (d) Seeking Guidance on Laboratory Orders for Patients Temporarily in Guam with Mainland Providers:
 - (1) Guidance is needed on whether laboratory orders from a mainland primary care provider (PCP) assuming the PCP is not licensed to practice in Guam and the patient is not seeing a provider in Guam can be accepted and honored for service in Guam.

VIII. NEW BUSINESS:

- (a) Application(s) for Full Licensure:
 - (1) Jennifer D. Dawson
 - (2) Deidre Chang Anastas
 - (3) Scott J. Sandy
 - (4) Timothy H. Tweito
 - (5) Wael M. Sankar
 - (6) Karl R. Meier
 - (7) Hung Bryant Nguyen



Guam Board of Medical Examiners

Department of Public Health and Social Services 194 Hernan Cortez Ave. Suite 213, Hagatna, GU 96910

- (8) Takehide Umeda
- (9) Jasmine Sinkhada
- **(b)** Application(s) for Limited Licensure:
 - (1) Ashli B. Moore
 - (2) Matthew Y. Chang
 - (3) Daniel Zarrate
 - (4) Fatima Z. Hallak
 - (5) Christian Soeharsono
 - (6) Ruth H. Ramoñ
- IX. NEXT BOARD MEETING:
 - A. Next regularly scheduled board meeting: Wednesday, March 12, 2025 at 4:00 pm.
- X. ADJOURNMENT: _____

PUBLICATION NOTICE

In accordance with the provisions of Guam Code Annotated, Title XI, Chapter III, Section 3315, notice is hereby given that:

PARISCO CORP DBA: PATISSERIE PARISCO-DEDEDO (NEW)

has applied for a Class: 5 (Five) Off Sale Wine Alcoholic Beverage License said premises being marked as Lot: LOT 3-R1 BLOCK 1 TRACT 91 STE 100, 101 & 102 MACHECHE PLAZA, DEDEDO

HELP WANTED

Cashlers and Floor Attendants Able to work any shift. Please email resume to: hr.gml671@gmall.com

MARK WILLIAMS, ESQ. LAW OFFICES OF MARK E. WILLIAMS, P.C.

166 West Marine Corps Drive Suite 102 BankPacific Building Dededo, Guam 96929 Telephone (671) 637-9620 Facsimile (671)637-9660

> IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF

> **FEDERICO QUINATA SANTIAGO** and DOLORES GUERRERO SANTIAGO, Deceased.

PROBATE CASE NO. PRO121-24 NOTICE TO CREDITORS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN by Petitioner, Executor of the estate of FEDERICO QUINATA SANTIAGO and DOLORES GUERRERO SANTIAGO, deceased, to the creditors of and all neisons having claims against the said Estate or against said decedents, that within two (2) months after the first publication of this notice, all claims must be filed with the necessary vouchers in the office of the Clerk of the Superior Court of Guam, or must be established with the necessary vouchers to the Law Offices of Mark E. Williams, P.C., 166 West Marine Corps Drive, 102 BankPacific Building, Dededo, Guam 96929 the same being the place for the transaction of said Estate.

Dated this 6th day of February, 2025.

By: /s/ Mark Williams **Attorney for Executor**

Office of the Attorney General
Douglas 8. Moylan
Attorney General of Guam
Family Section, General crimes Division
590 S. Marine Corps Drive, ITC Bldg., Ste. 706
Iamuning, Guam 96913 = USA
Iamuning, Guam
International Guam
I Attorneys for the People of Guam

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM IN THE INTEREST OF: N.R. (DOB: 11/27/2012), B.R. (DOB: 08/15/2014), J.R. (DOB: 03/09/2017),

JUVENILE CASE NO. JP0086-24 Summons

To: JON LE FEVER, FATHER 901 San Vitores Rd, Tumon, Guam

You are hereby summoned to appear via zoom, before the HONORABLE LINDA L. INGLES, at the Judiciary of Guam, Superior Court of Guam, 120 West O' Brien Drive, Hagatha, Guarn, for a court hearing on:

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2025 AT 3:00 PM Zoom Meeting ID: 716 711 9213 / Password: 76504

YOUR PARENTAL AND CUSTODIAL DUTIES AND RIGHTS CONCERNING THE CHILD WHO IS THE SUBJECT OF THE ATTACHED PETITION MAY BE TERMINATED BY AWARD OF PERMANENT CUSTODY IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR ON THE DATE SET FORTH IN THIS SUMMONS."

YOU MAY BE HELD IN CONTEMPT IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR ON THE DATE SET FORTH IN THIS SUMMONS.

Dated: JAN 21, 2025.

CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM By: /s/ Sheila K. Castro Deputy Clerk

MARK WILLIAMS, ESQ.

LAW OFFICES OF MARK E. WILLIAMS, P.C.

166 West Marine Corps Dirive Suite 102 BankPacific Building Dededo, Guam 96929 Telephone (671) 637-9620 Facsimile (671) 637-9660

> IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF.

MARCOS ABIDOG FARRALES and MARIA DUENAS FARRALES. Husband and wife. Deceased.

PROBATE CASE NO. PRO002-25 **NOTICE TO CREDITORS**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN by Petitioner, Administrator of the estate of MARCOS ABIDOG FARRALES and MARIA DUENAS FARRALES. decedents, to the creditors of and all persons having claims against the said Estate or against said decedents, that within two (2) months after the first publication of this notice, all claims must be filed with the necessary vouchers in the office of the Clerk of the Superior Court of Guam, or must be established with the necessary vouchers to the Law Offices of Mark E. Williams, P.C., 166 West Marine Corps Drive, 102 BankPacific Building, Dededo, Guam 96929 the same being the place for the transaction of said Estate.

Dated this 7th day of February, 2025.

By: /s/ Mark Williams Attorney for Administrator

LAW OFFICES

CURLIFFE & COOK 210 Archbishop Flores Stre Hagátha, GU 96910 5189 teet. Sle. 200 Telephone: (671) 472-1824 Telefan: (671) 472-2422 Attorneys for: Administratria

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF FRANCISCO MAFNAS CRUZ,

Deceased. Probate Case No. PR0203-24

NOTICE TO CREDITORS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN by the attorneys for INAMARIE CRUZ GUZMAN, Administratrix of the Estate of FRANCISCO MAFNAS CRUZ, Deceased, to the creditors of, and all persons having claims against said estate or against said deceased, that within sixty (60) days after the first publication of this notice, they either file them with the necessary vouchers with the Clerk of Court, Superior Court of Guam, Guam Judicial Center 120 West O'Brien Drive, Hagátña, Guarn, 96910, or exhibit them with the necessary vouchers to the Administratrix's attorneys, law offices of CUNLIFFE & COOK, A Professional Corporation, 210 Archbishop Flores Street, Suite 200, Hagatna, Guam, 96910, the same being the place of transaction for said estate.

DATED: January 31, 2025.

CUNLIFFE & COOK A Professional Corporation Attorneys for Administratrix By/s/ Jeffrey A. Cook. ESQ.

HOUSE

CONSTRUCTION & EXTENSION MAJOR AND MINOR RENOVATIONS HOME REMODELING

DRIVEWAYS

PAINTING

FENCE BUILDING

FREE ESTIMATES

CONTRACTOR LICENSED #CLB16-1239

> CALL CHEN 671-685-5998



Guam Board of Medical **Examiners**

Regular Board Meeting

Friday, February 21, 2025 at 3:00 PM

Join Zoom Meeting: https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83772282526?pwd=LN9 grbnmHp3ciybBvbWPXlpnWW8iug.1 Meeting ID: 837 7228 2526 Passcode: 955212

MEETING AGENDA:

I. CALL TO ORDER: (a) Roll Call

(b) Confirmation of Public Notice (c) Election of Board Officers II. ADOPTION OF AGENDA:

III. REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES: MINUTES OF DECEMBER 11, 2024 (RECONVENED JANUARY 15, 2025

IV. TREASURER'S REPORT: V. HPLO ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT: VI. CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT:

VII. Old Business:

(a) Complaint(s): (1) GBME-CO-20-005 (2) GBME-CO-2022-010 (b) Accusation: GBME-001-2023

(c) Application(s) for Full Licensure: (d) Seeking Guidance on Laboratory Orders for Patients Temporarily in Guam with Mainland Providers:

VIII. New Business:

(a) Application(s) for Full Licensure: (b) Application(s) for Limited Licensure IX. Next Board Meeting: A. The next Guam Board of Medical Examiners Regular Board Meeting is scheduled for March 12, 2025 at

4:00 pm. X. ADJOURNMENT

To view the names of the applicants being considered, go to https://guamhplo.org/gbme LIVE Streaming link

https://go.opengovguam.com/meetings_list/gbme This advertisement was paid for by DPHSS/Health Professional Licensing Office-Local Funds

For more information, please contact the Board office at 735-7404/10 thru 12. Persons needing a telecommunication device for the Hearing/Speech Impaired (TDD) may contact 475-8339.



use the classifieds whether you're

- buyingselling
- hiring

CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING ONLY \$14.00 A DAY/COLUMN INCH

Call us at 671.649.1924 or email sales@postguam.com Mon. - Fri. 8:00 am - 5:00 pm

AMENDED NOTICE OF SALE UNDER MORTGAGE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that pursuant to § 2932 of the Civil Code of Guarn as todified by 18 G.C.A. \$36113 that the Mortgage executed and delevered by JOSE C. MUNOZ and DIANA S. MUNOZ nha ESTATE OF JOSE CASTRO MUNOZ and DIANNA SABLAN MUNOZ aka DIAKA SABLAN MUNOZ, collectively referred to as "Mortgagor," to GUAM HOUSING CORPORATION, hereinafter referred to as "Mortgagee," to secure the Promissory Note dated June 19, 2001, which was duly recorded in the Department of Land Management, Government of Guam, under Instrument No. 639252, on June 20, 2001, will be FORECLOSED pursuant to a **POWER OF SALE** contained in the above Mortgage. The property described below will be sold WITHOUT WARRANTY BEING GIVEN, as hereinafter described, to the highest bidder at public auction, at the Office of the Mayor of Dededo, Guam, on February 28, 2025, at the hour of 10:00 a.m., to satisfy the amounts due on such Mortgage on the day of sale. Terms of sale are strictly cash or as otherwise deemed acceptable by Seller.

The leasehold premises that are described in such Mortgage and that will be sold to satisfy the Mortgage is as follows:

Lot No. 2-1, Block No. 5, Tract No. 1021, Dededo, Guam containing an area of 3.66 acres which is a portion of Lot No. 2, Block No. 5, Tract No. 1021, Dededo, Guam, (Estate No. 55593 under Basic Lot No. 10133, Dededo), Suburban, as said lot is marked and designated on Map Drawing No. DSI-5-90-08 (LMI342Fy91), dated September 28, 1992 and recorded on October 5, 1992 in the Department of Land Management the Department of Land Management, Government of Guam under Instrument No. 477866. The following is shown for information purposes only: Said map shows the area to be: 7.33 acres; Last Certificate of Title No. 61810 issued to the Government of Guam under Basic Lot 10133, Dededo,

logether with the buildings, improvements, tenements, rights, easements, privileges and appurtenances to the same belonging or appertaining or held and enjoyed herewith, including the reversions, remainders, rents, issues and profits thereof, all personal property, fixtures, chattels, lurnishings and inventory thereon, and all of the estate, right, title and interest of the Mortgagors, both at law and in equity, therein and thereto.

The undersigned is the attorney for the lawful owner of such mortgage and the Note dated secured thereby. The Mortgagor has defaulted in the performance of the terms and conditions of the note and mortgage by failing to make the payment set forth therein. The principal and accrued interest, late charges, and costs in the sum is Thirty-Five Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty-Nine and 46/100 Dollars (\$35,759.46), respectively, plus accoung interest from December 3, 2024, to the date of payment or sale, together with costs of sale and attorney's

The undersigned reserves the right to withdraw this Notice; to reject any bid or to accept the highest bid, and to postpone the sale from time to time without publication of any notice

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THIS FIRM IS ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE, AND THATTHE DEBT MAY BE DISPUTED. HOWEVER, IF YOU HAVE OR ARE IN THE PROCESS OF OBTAINING DISCHARGE OF THE DEBT FROM A BANKRUPTCY COURT, THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT THE DEBT, BUT ONLY ENFORCEMENT OF LIEN RIGHTS AGAINST THE PROPERTY.

Dated: January 22, 2025.

LAW OFFICE OF JACQUES G. BRONZE By: /s/ JACQUES G. BRONZE

GUAM, U.S.A. CITY OF HAGATNA

55

ON THIS 22nd day of January, 2025, before me, a Notary Public, in and for Guam, personally appeared JACQUES G. BRONZE, of the Law Offices of Jacques G. Bronze, P.C., known to me to be the attorney for GUAM HOUSING CORPORATION, whose name is subscribed to the foregoing AMENDED NOTICE OF SALE UNDER MORTGAGE, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same as his free and voluntary act and deed on behall of said corporation for the uses and purposes therein set forth.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year first above

> / CRISTIE ROSE C. GRANIEL NOTARY PUBLIC
> In and for Guam, U.S.A.
> y Commission Expires: JUNE 29, 2025
> P.O. Box 2981 Hagátia, GU 96932

Guam Board of Medical Examiners - Regular Board Meeting

₽ PRINT

Guam Board of Medical Examiners - Regular Board Meeting
MEETING



Posted on: 02/14/2025 08:09 AM

■ Posted by: Baltazar Hattori

Department(s): DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES (/notices?department_id=10)

■ Division(s):

HEALTH PROFESSIONAL LICENSING OFFICE (HPLO) (/notices? division_id=258)

Notice Topic(s): BOARD MEETING (/notices?topic_id=76)

Types of Notice: MEETING (/notices?type_id=5)

For Audience(s): PUBLIC (/notices?public=1)

Share this notice

Guam Board of Medical Examiners Regular Board Meeting

Friday, February 21, 2025 at 3:00 pm

Join Zoom Meeting:
https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83772282526?
pwd=LN9qrbnmHp3ciybBvbWPXIpnWW8iug.1)

Meeting ID: 837 7228 2526 Passcode: 955212

AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER:
- a. Roll Call
- b. Confirmation of Public Notice
- c. Election of Board Officers
- II. ADOPTION OF AGENDA
- III. **REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES** December 11, 2024 (Reconvened January 15, 2025)
- **IV. TREASURER'S REPORT:**
- **V. HPLO ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT:**
- **VI. CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT:**
- VII. OLD BUSINESS:
- VIII. Complaint(s):
 - 1. GBME-CO-20-005 Received: 09/18/2020 (L. Cruz) on-going
 - 2. GBME-CO-2022-010 Received: 06/21/2022 on-going
 - b. Accusation: GBME-001-2023
 - c. Application(s) for Full Licensure:
 - 1. Roxanna A. Sadri
 - d. Seeking Guidance on Laboratory Orders for Patients
 Temporarily in Guam with Mainland Providers:
 - Guidance is needed on whether laboratory orders from a mainland primary care provider (PCP) — assuming the PCP is not licensed to practice in Guam and the patient is not seeing a provider in Guam — can be accepted and honored for service in Guam.

VIII. NEW BUSINESS:

- a. Application(s) for Full Licensure:
- 1. Jennifer D. Dawson
- 2. Deidre Chang Anastas
- 3. Scott J. Sandy
- 4. Timothy H. Tweito
- 5. Wael M. Sankar
- 6. Karl R. Meier
- 7. Hung Bryant Nguyen
- 8. Takehide Umeda
- 9. Jasmine Sinkhada
- b. Application(s) for Limited Licensure:
- 1. Ashli B. Moore
- 2. Matthew Y. Chang
- 3. Daniel Zarrate
- 4. Fatima Z. Hallak
- 5. Christian Soeharsono
- 6. Ruth H. Ramoñ

IX. NEXT BOARD MEETING:

- A. Next regularly scheduled board meeting: Wednesday, March 12, 2025 at 4:00 pm.
- X. ADJOURNMENT: _____



PORT OF GLIAM
ARUBEAT FILE ITON CLIAMAN
JOHN D. Leon Generoro Cerementali Part
1026 Caliza in Inglines Surio 201; Pas, Guarn 569 15
1026 Caliza in Inglines Surio 201; Pas, Guarn 569 15
Felephone 671-77; 5581/78 i Generale 671-477-3689/6645



The Port Authority of Guam Board of Directors will hold its Regular meeting on Wednesday, February 26, 2025 at 3:00 p.m.

Meeting will be held virtually. To view, visit: http://www.portguam.com/live.or https://www.facebook.com/portauthorityofguam/.

Agenda: 1. Call to Order, II. Approval of Minutes January 23, 2025 Regular Board Meeting; III. Public Comments; IV. General Managers Report; V. Old Business; VI. New Business: 1. Adoption of Board Resolution No. 2025-08 relative to petitioning the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) for approval of IFB-PAG-CIP-021-005 Waterline Replacement Project Amendment No. 2, 2. Adoption of Board Resolution No. 2025-09 relative to petitioning the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) for the approval of 2025 Tariff Rate Revenue Enhancement, 3. Funding Request for Employee Incentive Events: Mes Chamoru, Liberation Day, Labor Day, Port Week, Thanksgiving, Christmas, 4. Request for Creation of Positions—Tariff Technician III and Tariff Technician III; VII. Adjournment.

for any assistance/access or individuals with disabilities who may need special accommodations, please call 671 477-5931, ext. 201/202 or mduen as@portofquam.com This ad paid for by PAG

MARK WILLIAMS, ESQ. LAW OFFICES OF MARK E. WILLIAMS, P.C. 166 West Marine Corps Drive

Suite 102 BankPachi, Building Dededo, Guarri 96929 Telephone (671) 637-9620 Facsimile (671) 637-9660

> IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF SILVESTER TAISACAN MENDIOLA a.k.a. SILVESTRE TAISACAN MENDIOLA,

Deceased. PROBATE CASE NO. PRO138-24 **NOTICE TO CREDITORS**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN by Petitioner. Executor of the estate of SILVESTER TAISACAN MENDIOLA a.k.a. SIEVESTRE TAISACAN MENDIOLA deceased, to the creditors of, and all persons having claims against the said Estate or against said decedent, that within two (2) months after the first publication of this notice, all claims must be filed with the necessary vouchers in the office of the Clerk of the Superior Court of Guam, or must be established with the necessary vouchers to the Law Offices of Mark E. Williams, P.C., 166 West Marine Corps Drive, 102 BankPacific Building, Dededo, Guam 96929 the same being the place for the transaction of said Estate.

Dated this 10th day of February, 2025.

By: /s/ MARK E. WILLIAMS, Esq. Attorney for Executor

McDONALD LAW OFFICE, LLC 173 Aspirall Arenue, Suite 207A Haglata, Suuam 96910 Telephone (671) 588 8866 Facsimle 1671) 472-9616 Email guam@mcdonald.law Attorneys for Petitioner Charles H. McDonald II

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM IN THE MATER OF THE ESTATE MICHAEL MANUEL CRUZ, Deceased. RY

CHARLES H. McDONALD II, Petitioner.

PROBATE CASE NO. PRO175-24 **NOTICE TO CREDITORS**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN by the undersigned Charles H. McDonald II, Administrator of the Estate of MICHAEL MANUEL CRUZ, deceased, to the creditors of, and all persons having claims against said Estate or against said deceased, that within sixty (60) days after the first publication of this notice, they either file them with the necessary vouchers in the office of the Clerk of the Superior Court of Guam, Hagatia, Guam or exhibit them with the necessary vouchers to said administrator or his attorneys McDonald Law Office, LLC, 173 Aspinall Avenue, Suite 207A Hagatña, Guam 96910, the same being the place for such transaction.

Dated this 13* day of February, 2025.

McDONALD LAW OFFICE, LLC Attorneys for Petitioner
By: /S/ CHARLES H. McDONALD II

LAW OFFICE OF CATHERINE REJEDANA CAMACHO, ESQ.

207 Solich Walling Colpresses, 2002-20 Bartoning, Goam 96913 Helphone; (671) 647 4222/929 2816 Facsimile: (671) 647 4223 Ernall: chclawoffice@gmail.com

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE MAGDALENA FEJERAN QUAN, Decedent.

PROBATE CASE NO. PROCO8-25 **NOTICE OF HEARING**

THIS NOTICE IS REQUIRED BY LAW, YOU ARE NOT REQUIRED TO APPEAR IN COURT UNLESS YOU DESIRE.

1. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Petitioner JONEY ANN Q. JESUS has filed a Petition for Probate of Administration.

2. A hearing on the petition will be heard on Wednesday, February 26, 2025, at 9:50 a.m.
3. To attend or to paticipate in the hearing, you may appear in person at the Guam Judicial Center, appear remotely at https://guamcourts.org.zoom.us and enter Meeting ID:864 4387 2213 and Passcode: JEMI, or call into the courtroom at 671.300.6703 at the designated hearing time. For connectivity issues, you may contact Jannette Samson at (671) 475-0141 or email jsamson@guamcourts.gov. DATED: 27 January, 2025.

JANICE M. CAMACHO-PEREZ Clerk of Court , Superior Court of Guam BY: /S/ Alice B. Mendoza Courtroom/Chamber Clerk

CAMACHO CALVO LAW GROUP LLC

DONALD V. CALVO SIYAN HIT SIYAN HU shu@camachocahro Iaw 356 E Marine Corps Drive, Suite 201 Hagazha, Guam 96910 Telephone No. 671 472 6813 Faccimile No. 671 477 4375 Attorneys for Executor JAMES W.S. ADKINS

> IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE

JAMES LARRY ADKINS, Deceased. PROBATE CASE NO. PRO177-24 **NOTICE TO CREDITORS**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN by JAMES W.S. ADKINS, Executor of the Estate of JAMES LARRY ADXINS, deceased, to the creditors of, and all persons having daims against said Estate or against said Decedent, that within sixty (60) calendar days place for the transaction of the business of said Estate.

DATED: Hagātňa, GU, February 10, 2025.

CAMACHO CALVO LAW GROUP LLC
/S/ DONALD V, CALVO
SYAN HU
Attorneys for Petitioner
JAMES W.S. ADKINS



Guam Board of Medical **Examiners**

Regular Board Meeting

Friday, February 21, 2025 at 3:00 PM

Join Zoom Meeting: https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83772282526?pwd=LN9 qrbnmHp3ciybBvbWPXIpnWW8iug.1

Meeting ID: 837 7228 2526 Passcode: 955212

MEETING AGENDA:

I. CALL TO ORDER:

(a) Roll Call

(b) Confirmation of Public Notice

(c) Election of Board Officers

II. ADOPTION OF AGENDA:

III. REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

MINUTES OF DECEMBER 11, 2024

(RECONVENED JANUARY 15, 2025

IV. TREASURER'S REPORT: V. HPLO ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT: VI. CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT:

VII. Old Business:

(a) Complaint(s): (1) GBME-CO-20-005

(2) GBME-CO-20-20 (2) GBME-CO-2022-010 (b) Accusation: GBME-001-2023 (c) Application(s) for Full Licensure: (d) Seeking Guidance on Laboratory Orders for Patients Temporarily in

Guam with Mainland Providers: VIII. New Business:

(a) Application(s) for Full Licensure: (b) Application(s) for Limited Licensure

IX. Next Board Meeting: A. The next Guam Board of Medical Examiners Regular Board Meeting is scheduled for March 12, 2025 at

4:00 pm. X. ADJOURNMENT

To view the names of the applicants being considered, go to https://guamhplo.org/gbme LIVE Streaming link

https://go.opengovguam.com/meetings_list/gbme This advertisement was paid for by DPHSS/Health Professional Licensing Office-Local Funds

For more information, please contact the Board office at 735-7404/10 thru 12. Persons needing a telecommunication device for the Hearing/Speech Impaired (TDD) may contact 475-8339.

JOB ANNOUNCEMENT FOR TEMPORARY POSITIONS

15-Carpenter (1yr. exp) \$18.34Ahr. 20 -Cement Mason (1yr.exp) \$17.51/Hr.

Apply at Mega United Corp., Ltd. by calling (671) 689-3293 or (671) 686-8208.

References required upon request.

Jacqueline Taitano Terlaje LAW OFFICE OF JACQUELINE TAITANO TERLAJE, P.C. 284 W. Chalan Santo Papa Hagatha, Guam 96910 Telephone: 671,648,9001 Facsimde: 671,648,9002 Email: info@terfajelaw.com

Attorney for Administrator
Joseph John Baza Mendiola

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE JOSE E. MENDIOLA. Deceased.

PROBATE CASE NO. PRO119-24 **NOTICE TO CREDITORS**

THIS NOTICE IS REQUIRED BY LAW. YOU ARE NOT REQUIRED TO APPEAR IN COURT UNLESS YOU DESIRE.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN by the undersigned, JOSEPH JOHN BAZA MENDIOLA, Administrator of the Estate of jose E. Mendiola, to the creditors of, and all persons having claims against, the deceased, that within sixty (60) days after the date of the first publication of this notice, they either file their claims with the necessary vouchers in:

The Office of the Clerk of the Superior Court of Guam 120 West O'Brien Drive Hagátňa, Guam 96910

Or present their claims with the necessary vouchers at the place of business of:

The Law Office of Jacqueline Taltano Terlaje, P.C. 284 W. Chalan Santo Papa Hagātňa, Guam 96910

The same being the place for the transactions of the Estate

Dated this 11th day of February, 2025.

By: /s/ JOSEPH JOHN BAZA MENDICLA

WILLIAM B. BRENNAN, FSQ. ARRIOLA LAW FIRM, LLC 259 MARIYR STRTET SUITT 201 ILGATAS, GUAM 96910 ILL (671) 477-9730 W atterneys@arriolafirm.com

Counsel for Plaintiff
Coast 360 Federal Credit Union
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM COAST 360 FEDERAL CREDIT UNION, Plaintiff,

RICHARD T. DAMIAN, Defendant. CIVIL CASE NO.: CV0500-17 NOTICE OF SALE UNDER EXECUTION

NOTICE OF SALE UNDER EXECUTION

NOTICE IS HERIBY GIVEN that by virtue of a Writ of Execution issued on SEP 13, 2024, directed and delivered to me as the Marshal of the Superior Court of Guam, on a Judgment rendered by the Superior Court on March 9, 2023 wherein the above-named Plaintill recovered judgment from Richard I. Danian, with interest accrating until fully paid and said judgment being entered on March 9, 2023, with a current amount due, with interest \$207.735.31 as of June 17, 2024, is now due and owing on said judgment. They have been defined to the Writ of Execution and Judgment, I have heretofore levied on all of the right, title and interest in and to that certain reaf property described in the Notice of Levy then belonging to the defendant Richard Damian, the Defendant named in the Writ of Execution and Notice of Levy by posting on said real property a copy of such Writ of Execution and Notice of Levy in writing that such property was levied on said date to satisfy the judgment herein. Both the Writ of Execution and Notice of Levy affect the following described real property: property:

Lot Number 141-54, Mongmong, Toto, Maite, Guam, Suburban, as said Lot is described in that Property Map Toto Village Subdivision of Lot 141, as shown on Drawing Number MA-10086, recorded on 15 August 50 under Instrument No. 22122 at Land Management.

For informational purposes only, the above referenced map indicates the property contains an area of 711.96± square meters.

Last Certificate of Title Number: 120463 - Richard T. Damian

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that I, as Marshal of the Superior Court of Guam, am commanded to sell and shall sell on 3 13/25 9 AM in front of the Mongmong Toto Maile Mayor's Office located at 226 Aragon Street. Mongmong-Toto-Maite, Guam 90910 pursuant to the Writ of Execution, the above-described real property and all the right, title and interest of Richard Damian in said real property, at public auction to the highest bidder, for eash or eashier's check, in lawful money of the United States, to satisfy the obligations under the indomests.

easther's check, in lawton money of the solution interest to be conveyed. The purchase price shall be without warranty as to the title or interest to be conveyed. The purchase price shall be payable by eash, certified check, or easher's check paid at the time and place of sale. The right is reserved to reject any and all bids and to cancel or extend the date, time and place for such sale on such property.

Dated this 14" day of October, 2024.

By A/Sonda L.M. Vatar ACTING MARSHAL OF THE COURTS SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM

after the date of the first publication of this notice, they either file them with necessary wouchers in the Office of the Clerk of the Superior Court of Guam, or Executor or his attorneys of record, Camacho Calvo Law Group, LLC, 356 E Marine Corps Drive, Ste. 201, Hagatha, Guam 96910, the same being the

Guam Board of Medical Examiners - Regular Board Meeting (2nd Notice)

₽ PRINT

Guam Board of Medical Examiners - Regular Board Meeting (2nd Notice)

MEETING



Posted on: 02/19/2025 08:02 AM

Posted by: Baltazar Hattori

Department(s): DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES (/notices?department_id=10)

■ Division(s):

HEALTH PROFESSIONAL LICENSING OFFICE (HPLO) (/notices? division_id=258)

Notice Topic(s): BOARD MEETING (/notices?topic_id=76)

Types of Notice: MEETING (/notices?type_id=5)

For Audience(s): PUBLIC (/notices?public=1)

Share this notice

Guam Board of Medical Examiners Regular Board Meeting

Friday, February 21, 2025 at 3:00 pm

Join Zoom Meeting:

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83772282526? pwd=LN9qrbnmHp3ciybBvbWPXIpnWW8iug.1 (https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83772282526? pwd=LN9qrbnmHp3ciybBvbWPXIpnWW8iug.1)

> Meeting ID: 837 7228 2526 Passcode: 955212

AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER: _____
- a. Roll Call
- b. Confirmation of Public Notice
- c. Election of Board Officers
- II. ADOPTION OF AGENDA
- III. **REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES** December 11, 2024 (Reconvened January 15, 2025)
- **IV. TREASURER'S REPORT:**
- V. HPLO ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT:
- VI. CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT:
- VII. OLD BUSINESS:
- VIII. Complaint(s):
 - 1. GBME-CO-20-005 Received: 09/18/2020 (L. Cruz) on-going
 - GBME-CO-2022-010 Received: 06/21/2022 on-going
 - b. Accusation: GBME-001-2023
 - c. Application(s) for Full Licensure:
 - 1. Roxanna A. Sadri
 - d. Seeking Guidance on Laboratory Orders for Patients
 Temporarily in Guam with Mainland Providers:
 - Guidance is needed on whether laboratory orders from a mainland primary care provider (PCP) — assuming the PCP is not licensed to practice in Guam and the patient is not seeing a provider in Guam — can be accepted and honored for service in Guam.

VIII. NEW BUSINESS:

- a. Application(s) for Full Licensure:
- 1. Jennifer D. Dawson
- 2. Deidre Chang Anastas
- 3. Scott J. Sandy
- 4. Timothy H. Tweito
- 5. Wael M. Sankar
- 6. Karl R. Meier
- 7. Hung Bryant Nguyen
- 8. Takehide Umeda
- 9. Jasmine Sinkhada
- b. Application(s) for Limited Licensure:
- 1. Ashli B. Moore
- 2. Matthew Y. Chang
- 3. Daniel Zarrate
- 4. Fatima Z. Hallak
- 5. Christian Soeharsono
- 6. Ruth H. Ramoñ

IX. NEXT BOARD MEETING:

- A. Next regularly scheduled board meeting: Wednesday, March 12, 2025 at 4:00 pm.
- X. ADJOURNMENT: _____

GUAM BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

Board Meeting Attendance Sheet

Health Professional Licensing Office (HPLO) Suite 213 194 Hernan Cortez Ave. Terlaje Professional Building, Hagatna, Guam 96910

Date of Meeting: February 21, 2025				Reconvened Meeting	Special Meeting	
Meeting Call to Order	3:41 pm	Time of Adjournment	5:37 pm	x	Quorum	No Quorum

BOARD MEMBERS	POSITION	SIGNATURE
Nathaniel Berg, MD	Member	Present
Luis Cruz, MD	Member	Absent
Alexander "Beau" Wieelard, MD	Member	Virtually Present
Verrad Kwasi Nyame, MD	GMHA Member	Virtually Present
Joleen Aguon, MD	Member	Present

OTHERS PRESENT

PRINT NAME	AGENCY	SIGNATURE
Baltazar Hattori III	HPLO/EMS	THE STATE OF THE S
Breanna Sablan	HPLO/EMS	Virtually Present